

# Seven Proofs: Why Human CO<sub>2</sub> does not control the CO<sub>2</sub> level or the climate

Edwin X Berry, PhD, Theoretical Physics, CCM, [ed@edberry.com](mailto:ed@edberry.com), September 6, 2023

## Fundamentals:

- CO<sub>2</sub> flows through the atmosphere as water flows through your bathtub.
- Half-life is how long it takes for the level to decrease by half with no inflow.

## IPCC's core theory, upon which all climate alarmism is based, says:

- (a) Natural CO<sub>2</sub> stayed constant at 280 ppm after 1750.
- (b) Human CO<sub>2</sub> caused all the CO<sub>2</sub> increase above 280 ppm, or 140 ppm today.
- (c) This theory makes human CO<sub>2</sub> 33% of today's CO<sub>2</sub> level of 420 ppm.
- (d) Human CO<sub>2</sub> half-life is 1000 years.

## Here are seven proofs (not opinions) that prove IPCC's core theory is false.

1. IPCC argues, "Nature absorbs human CO<sub>2</sub>. So, nature cannot also emit CO<sub>2</sub>. So, human CO<sub>2</sub> increased CO<sub>2</sub>." This circular argument assumes (a) is true to prove (a) is true.
2. Natural CO<sub>2</sub> and human CO<sub>2</sub> flow independently through the atmosphere. When at equilibrium, the percent of human CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere equals the percent of human CO<sub>2</sub> in the CO<sub>2</sub> inflow, which IPCC says is about 5%. So, human CO<sub>2</sub> is about 5% (or 20 ppm), not 33% (or 140 ppm) as IPCC's core theory claims.
3. IPCC data show the natural CO<sub>2</sub> half-life is 2.4 years. Human CO<sub>2</sub> half-life cannot be 1000 years because human and natural CO<sub>2</sub> molecules are identical, so their half-lives are identical, or 2.4 years. To get 1000 years, IPCC needs a fictitious magic demon to trap human CO<sub>2</sub> and let natural CO<sub>2</sub> go free.
4. Human CO<sub>2</sub> has added only 1% to the total carbon in the carbon cycle, which adds only 4 ppm to the CO<sub>2</sub> level. So, there is no climate emergency.
5. (D14C + 1000) measures the carbon-14 in a sample of carbon-12. The natural level of (D14C + 1000) is 1000. Human CO<sub>2</sub> has no carbon-14, so it lowers D14C. If human CO<sub>2</sub> were 33% of CO<sub>2</sub>, it would lower (D14C + 1000) from 1000 to 666. But (D14C + 1000) is still 1000. This proves human CO<sub>2</sub> is insignificant to the CO<sub>2</sub> increase.
6. Human CO<sub>2</sub> cannot have caused the CO<sub>2</sub> increase before 1955 because the sum of all human CO<sub>2</sub> emissions before 1955 is less than the CO<sub>2</sub> increase above 280 ppm.
7. The COVID-caused 20% decrease in human CO<sub>2</sub> emissions did not slow the steady increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> because natural CO<sub>2</sub> causes the CO<sub>2</sub> increase.

## Conclusions

We used the scientific method to prove IPCC's core theory of human CO<sub>2</sub> is false. These proofs supersede all opinions, claims, and votes that IPCC's core theory is true.

Natural CO<sub>2</sub>, not human CO<sub>2</sub>, causes the CO<sub>2</sub> increase. Decreasing human CO<sub>2</sub> emissions will not lower the CO<sub>2</sub> level. Carbon capture is a waste of engineering talent and money.

Most humans follow groupthink rather than truth. So, the belief that human CO<sub>2</sub> drives the CO<sub>2</sub> increase may be the biggest public delusion and most costly fraud in history.